

Available online at http://www.ijcrls.com

International Journal of Current Research in Life Sciences Vol. 09, No. 05, pp.3279-3283, May, 2020



RESEARCH ARTICLE

AN ASSESSMENT OF TREE SPECIES DIVERSITY IN TIRUNELVELI CORPORATION AREA, TAMIL NADU

Priya P.V.¹, Rekha, G.S.² and Saravana Ganthi, A.^{3,*}

¹Research Scholar, Department of Botany, Rani Anna Govt. College for women, affiliated to M.S. University, Tirunelveli

^{2,3}Assistant Professor, Department of Botany, Rani Anna Govt. College for Women, Tirunelveli

Received 27th March, 2020; Accepted 16th April, 2020; Published 30th May, 2020

ABSTRACT

An environment rich in biodiversity offers the broadest array of options for sustainable economic activity, for sustaining human welfare and for adapting to changes. Loss of biodiversity has serious economic and social costs for any country. The experience of the past few decades has shown that industrialization and economic development has resulted in the extinction of species. Trees must be made a mandatory part of the roadside. The study was conducted during June 2019 - January 2020 covering the area of Tirunelveli Corporation, Tamil Nadu. Total number of Angiosperm trees recorded from the Tirunelveli corporation area is 141 species. They belong to 109 genera and 44 families. 124 are Dicot and belong to 93 genera and 38 families; 14 are monocot and belong to 13 genera and 3 families. Totally 46 timber yielding trees, 57 ornamental trees, 20 fruit yielding trees and 22 medicinal trees were recorded in the study area. District Science Centre Tirunelveli recorded with highest number trees and totally 318 individual trees observed during the period of study. The present study used to select better species suitable for urban forestry.

Key words: Tree species, Tirunelveli Municipal Corporation, Conservation.

Copyright © 2018, Priya et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Priya, P.V., Rekha, G.S. and Saravana Ganthi, A. 2020. "An assessment of tree species diversity in Tirunelveli Corporation Area, Tamil Nadu." International Journal of Current Research in Life Sciences, 09, (05), 3279-3283.

INTRODUCTION

Trees are the most important component in an urban environment. It plays an important role in human life. Trees lined along the roadsides, parks, offices and educational institutions give the best impression about the city. People come to a city first impressed by its street landscape. Population of cities is increasing rapidly and two third of world population will reside in cities by 2030 (Anonymous, 2007). Accordingly, the cities in the near future may face many environmental issues. The primary need of a city is to develop a green cover in urban areas, because more than the aesthetic value it provides ecosystem services (Clarke and Thaman, 1993). Diversity of trees in an area maintains the biodiversity and help in interaction of various components of the ecosystem (Colding et al., 2006). Trees filter rainwater and runoff from chemicals, sediment, and other pollutants. The primary role of a tree is the production of fresh air /oxygen for the local residents. Trees also play significant role in the social life of its residents. Fruit yielding tree species are provide a source of income for many people. Trees in the home garden provide both economical and social merit. Tropical home gardens are rich in plant species diversity.

It may act as reservoirs of crop germplasm and serve to conserve rare or threatened species and varieties (Esquivel and Hammer, 1992; Merrick, 1992; Alcorn, 1992; Kimber, 1978; Johnson, 1972; Gomez-pompa, 1996). *In-situ* conservation and sustainable utilization of cultivated plant species is significant advantage in home gardens (Smith, 1996; Jim and Chen, 2009), few studies as yet account for variations in garden composition and plant species richness. The objective of this study was to assess tree species diversity in the Tirunelveli Corporation area, Tamil Nadu, India and their importance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area: The Tirunelveli Municipal Corporation is located in the world map between 080 8' and 090 23' latitude and 770 09' and 770 54' longitude. Tirunelveli Municipal Corporation covers an area of 108.65' sq.kms. Tirunelveli is situated 81 kms. to the north to Kanyakumari and 712 kms. to the south of Chennai. Tirunelveli Municipal Corporation (TMC) was constituted in 1994 comprising of Tirunelveli Municipality, Palayamkottai Municipality, Melapalayam Municipality along with 15th villages panchayats and one Town Panchayat. The Corporation is divided into four zonal offices. The river Tamirabarani is the life line of the city and is the main source of drinking water supple for the city. During the course of present study, field trips were carried out in the area during June 2019 to January 2020.

^{*}Corresponding author: Saravana Ganthi, A.,

Assistant Professor, Department of Botany, Rani Anna Govt. College for Women, Tirunelveli.

A primary data about the trees was collected from public parks (PP), college and school campus (CG), District Science Centre campus (DSC), various Government office campuses (OG), road side (RS), temple campus (TE) and home gardens (HG) located in all four municipality region of Tirunelveli Corporation. All the relevant information of each tree species was recorded in an index card. The morphological differences were noted and the plants and their families were arranged according to the Bentham and Hooker's system (1862 - 1883). The plants specimens collected were processed at the laboratory of Botany, Rani Anna Govt. Arts College for Women, Gandhi Nagar, and identified with the help of available literature. The identification and nomenclature of plants was done using The Flora of Tamil Nadu Carnatic (Mathew, 1983 -1988), Flora of Tamil Nadu, (Henry et al., 1987), Flora of Presidency of Madras (Gamble, 1915 - 1936) and Ornamental Plants (Sabina George Thekkayam, 2010).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Total number of Angiosperm trees recorded from the Tirunelveli corporation area is 141 species. They belong to 109 genera and 44 families.

The total number of plants recorded from study area listed in the Table: 1. 124 are Dicot and belong to 93 genera and 38 families; 14 are monocot and belong to 13 genera and 3 families. Table 2 depict the number of families, genera and species of Dicotyledons and Monocotyledons recorded in the area of study. Among the dicots, 92 species are Polypetalae and family fabaceae is the most dominant with 16 genera and 21 species. In Gamopetalae 7 families covering 26 species are recorded and Bignoniaceae is the most dominant family with 8 species. In Monochlamydeae 4 species covering 3 genera are recorded and Euphorbiaceae is the most dominant family with 2 species. In Monocot 14 species cover 13 genera representing 3 families. Among the monocots, the most dominant family is Arecaceae (11 species, 10 genera) (Fig: 5.5). Three species of Gymnosperms are also recorded in the area of study. They belong to 3 genera and 3 families. On the whole there are 19 Angiospermic monotypic families, of which 20 are dicots and 2 monocots. The most dominant family is Fabaceae with 16 genera and 21 species. Family Arecaceae, Caesalpiniaceae and Fabaceae represented with more than 10 species. Totally seven families represented with more than 5 species each. Totally 46 timber yielding trees, 57 ornamental trees, 20 fruit yielding trees and 22 medicinal trees were recorded in the study area.

Table 1. Trees in Tirunelveli Corporation

| S.No. | Botanical name | Family | Locality | Use | |
|-------|---|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|
| 1. | Acacia auriculiformis A. Cunn. ex Benth. | Fabaceae | DSC | Ornamental | |
| 2. | Acacia leucophloea (Roxb.) Wills. | Fabaceae | RS | Timber | |
| 3. | Acacia planiforns Wt. & Arn. | Fabaceae | RS | Timber | |
| 4. | Acacia nilotica (L.) Willd. ex Delile | Fabaceae | RS | Timber | |
| 5. | Adananthera pavoniana L. | Fabaceae | RS, CG, OG | Timber | |
| 6. | Aegle marmelos (L.) Corr. | Rutaceae | HG, RS, CG | Medicinal | |
| 7. | Ailanthes excelsa Roxb. | Simaroubaceae | RS, CG, OG | Timber | |
| 8. | Albizzia lebbek (L.) Benth. | Caesalpiniaceae | RS, CG, DSC, OG, PP | Timber | |
| 9. | Alstonia schloris (L.) R. Br. | Apocynaceae | RS, CG | Ornamental, Timber | |
| 10. | Anacardium occidentale L. | Anacardiaceae | RS | Fruit | |
| 11. | Annona reticulata L. | Annonaceae | HG | Fruit | |
| 12. | Annona squamosa L. | Annonaceae | HG, RS | Fruit | |
| 13. | Araucaria heterophylla (Salisb.) Franco. | Araucariaceae | HG, DSC, CG, OG. PP | Ornamental | |
| 14. | Areca triandra Roxb. ex Buch-Hum. | Arecaceae | HG, DSC | Ornamental | |
| 15. | Artocarpus altilis (Parkinson) Fosberg. | Moraceae | CG | Fruit | |
| 16. | Artocarpus heterophyllus Lam. | Moraceae | HG | Fruit | |
| 17. | Averrhoa carambola L. | Averrhoaceae | DSC | Timber, Medicinal | |
| 18. | Azadirachta indica A. Kiss. | Meliaceae | HG, RS, TE, OG, PP | Timber, Medicinal | |
| 19. | Bambusa vulgaris Schard. | Poaceae | HG, CG | Timber, Ornamental | |
| 20. | Bauhinia purpurea L. | Caesalpiniaceae | RS, CG, DSC | Ornamental | |
| 21. | Bauhinia tomentosa L. | Caesalpiniaceae | HG, OG | Ornamental | |
| 22. | Bauhinia variegata L. | Caesalpiniaceae | HG, RS | Ornamental | |
| 23. | Borassus fablifer L. | Arecaceae | RS, CG | Timber | |
| 24. | Butea monosperma (Lam.) Taub. | Fabaceae | RS, CG | Ornamental | |
| 25 | Caesalpinia coriaria (Jacq.) Willd. | Caesalpiniaceae | CG | Ornamental | |
| 26 | Callistemon lanecolatus (Smith) Sweet. | Myrtaceae | HG, DSC, CG | Ornamental | |
| 27 | Calophyllum inophyllum L. | Clusiaceae | CG | Timber, oil | |
| 28 | Carica papaya L. | Caricaceae | HG | Fruit | |
| 29 | Caryota mitis Lour. | Arecaceae | HG, DSC, CG, OG | Ornamental | |
| 30 | Caryota urens L. | Arecaceae | HG, DSC | Ornamental | |
| 31 | Cassia fistula L. | Caesalpiniaceae | HG, RS, CG, OG | Ornamental | |
| 32 | Cassia javanica L. | Caesalpiniaceae | DSC | Ornamental | |
| 33 | Cassia roxburghi DC. | Caesalpiniaceae | RS, OG | Ornamental | |
| 34 | Cassia siamea (Lam.) H.S. Irwin & Barneby. | Caesalpiniaceae | RS, CG, OG. PP | Ornamental | |
| 35 | Cassine paniculata (Wight &Arn.) Lobr. Callen | Celastraceae | RS, CG, DSC, OG | Timber | |
| 36 | Casuarina equisetifolia L. | Casuarinaceae | HG, RS, OG | Timber, Ornamental | |
| 37 | Chrysalidocarpus lutescensH. Wendl. | Arecaceae | HG | Ornamental | |
| 38 | Cieba pentandra (L.) Gaerten | Malvaceae | RS, CG | Fibre | |
| 39 | Citharexylum spinosum L. | Verbenaceae | HG, RS | Timber | |
| 40 | Citrus limon (L.) Osbeck. | Rutaceae | HG, TE | Fruit | |

| 113 114 | Pritchardia pacifica Seem. & H. Wendel. Prosopis cineraria (L.) Druce. | Arecaceae | HG | Ornamental | |
|------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 113 | | | | | |
| | Pongamia glabra Vent. | Fabaceae | HG, RS, OG, PP | Timber, oil | |
| 112 | Polyalthia longifolia Hook. & Thoms. var. pendula | Annonaceae | RS, CG, DSC, OG | Ornamental | |
| 111 | Polyalthia longifolia (Sonn.) Thwaites | Annonaceae | HG, DSC, OG, PP | Ornamental | |
| 110 | Plumeria rubra L. | Apocynaceae | HG, RS | Ornamental | |
| 109 | Plumeria pudica Jacq. | Apocynaceae | HG, RS | Ornamental | |
| 108 | Plumeria alba L. | Apocynaceae | HG, RS, PP | Ornamental | |
| 107 | Pithecellobium dulce (Roxb.) Benth. | Fabaceae | RS, CG | Fruit | |
| 105 | Pisonia grandis R.Br. | Nyctanginaceae | HG, RS, TE, OG, PP | Ornamental | |
| 104 | Phyllostachys aurea Riviere & C. Riviere | Poaceae | HG, DSC | Ornamental | |
| 103 | Phyllanthus emblica L. | Euphorbiaceae | HG, RS, DSC, CG, OG | Fruit | |
| 102 | Phyllanthus acidus (L.) Skeels. | Euphorbiaceae | HG, RS | Fruit | |
| 101 | Pettophorum pterocarpum (DC.) K.Heyne. Phoenix dactylifera L. | Arecaceae | RS, DSC, CG, OG, PP | Ornamental | |
| 100 | Parkia biglandulosa Wt. & Arn Peltophorum pterocarpum (DC.) K.Heyne. | Fabaceae Caesalpiniaceae | HG, RS, DSC, CG, OG, PP | Ornamental Ornamental | |
| 99 100 | Nyctanthus arborteretis L. Parkia biglandulosa Wt. & Arn | Oleaceae Fabaceae | HG DSC | Ornamental | |
| 98 00 | Neolamarckia cadamba (Roxb.) Boss. | Rubiaceae | DSC, CG | Timber, Medicinal | |
| 97 | Musa paradisica L. | Musaceae | HG DSC CC | Fruit Timbor Medicinel | |
| 96 | Murrya koenjii (L.) Sprenge | Rutaceae | HG, CG, TE, OG | Leaf | |
| 95 0 (| Murraya paniculata (L.) Jack. | Rutaceae | DSC | Medicinal | |
| <i>94</i> | Muntigia calabura L. | Muntingiaceae | RS, CG, PP | Timber | |
| 93 | Morus alba L. | Moraceae | DSC, CG | Timber | |
| 92 | Moringa pterygosperma Gaertn | Moringaceae | HG, OG | Fruit | |
| 91 | Morinda tinctoria Roxb. | Rubiaceae | HG, RS,TE, OG | Timber, Medicinal | |
| 90 | Mimosops elengi L. | Sapotaceae | RS, CG, PP | Timber, Medicinal | |
| 89 | Millingtonia hortensis L.f. | Bignoniaceae | HG, RS, CG, OG | Ornamental | |
| 88 | Millettia peguensis Ali. | Fabaceae | CG | Ornamental | |
| 87 | Michelia chembaca L. | Magnoliaceae | HG, DSC | Ornamental | |
| 86 | Melia azedarach L. | Meliaceae | RS, CG | Timber | |
| 85 | Mangifera indica L. | Anacardiaceae | HG, TE, OG | Fruit | |
| 84 | Malpighia glabra L. | Malpighiaceae | DSC | Ornamental | |
| 83 | Maanaca longijona (Koen.) Macor. Majidea zanguebarica J.Kirk | Sapindaceae | CG | Ornamental | |
| 82 | Madhuca longifolia (Koen.) Macbr. | Sapotaceae | RS, CG, DSC | Oil | |
| 80 | Lannea coromandelica (Houtt.) Merr. Leucaena latisiliqua (L.) Gillis. | Fabaceae | HG, RS, OG, PP | Fodder | |
| 79 80 | Lagerstroemia reginae Roxb. Lannea coromandelica (Houtt.) Merr. | Anacardiaceae | RS, CG, OG, PP | Timber | |
| 78 79 | Lagerstroemia flos-reginae Retz. | Lyrthaceae Lyrthaceae | DSC DSC | Ornamental Ornamental | |
| 77 78 | Lagerstroemia indica L. | Lyrthaceae | DSC | Ornamental Ornamental | |
| 76 | Kiglia africana (Lam.) Benth. | Bignoniaceae | RS, CG | Ornamental | |
| 75 | Junisperus communis L. | Cupressaceae | HG, CG | Ornamental | |
| 74 | Ixora pavetta Andr. | Rubiaceae | HG | Ornamental | |
| 73 | Holoptelea integrifolia Planch. | Ulmaceae | RS, CG, OG | Timber | |
| 72 | Holarrhene pubescens (BuchHam.) Wall. ex G. Don | Apocynaceae | DSC | Medicinal | |
| 71 | Hardwickia binata Roxb. | Caesalpiniaceae | DSC, CG | Timber | |
| 70 | Guettarda speciosa L. | Rubiaceae | RS | Medicinal | |
| 69 | Guazuma ulmifolia Lam. | Sterculiaceae | RS, CG, OG, PP | Timber | |
| 68 | Grevillea venusta A. Cunn. ex Meissn. | Proteceae | HG | Ornamental | |
| 67 | Grewia tiliaefolia Vahl. | Tiliaceae | DSC | Timber | |
| 66 | Gmelina arborea L. | Verbenaceae | RS, CG, OG | Timber | |
| 65 | Gliricidia sepium (Jacq.) Kunth ex Walp. | Fabaceae | RS, CG, DSC | Fodder | |
| 64 | Ficus virons Aiton. | Moraceae | RS, HG | Timber | |
| 63 | Ficus religiosa L. | Moraceae | RS, CG, TE, OG, PP | Timber, Medicinal | |
| 62 | Ficus racemosa L. | Moraceae | RS, CG, OG | Fruit | |
| 61 | Ficus elastica Roxb. ex Hornem | Moraceae | HG | Ornamental | |
| 60 | Ficus benjamina L. | Moraceae | RS | Ornamental | |
| 59 | Ficus benghalensis L. | Moraceae | RS, CG, OG | Timber | |
| 58 | Euclayptus globules Labill. | Myrtaceae | RS, CG, DSC | Medicinal | |
| 57 | Erythrina variegata L. | Fabaceae | DSC | Medicinal | |
| 56 | Enterlobium saman (Jacq.) Merr. | Fabaceae | RS, CG, PP | Timber | |
| 54 55 | Dillenia indica L. Dypsis lutescens (H.Wendl.) Beentje & J. Dransf. | Delliniaceae Arecaceae | DSC HG, OG, CG | Ornamental Ornamental | |
| 53 | Dichrostachys cinerea (L.) Wight & Arn. | Fabaceae | RS | Timber | |
| 52 | Delonix regia (Boj. ex Hook.) Rafin. | Caesalpiniaceae | HG, RS, DSC, CG | Ornamental | |
| 51 | Delonix elata (L.) Gamb. | Caesalpiniaceae | RS, CG | Timber | |
| 50 | Dalbergia sissoo Roxb. | Fabaceae | RS, DSC | Timber | |
| 49 | Dalbergia latifolia Roxb. | Fabaceae | RS, CG | Timber | |
| 48 | <i>Cycas revoluta</i> Thumb. | Cycadaceae | HG, DSC, CG | Ornamental | |
| 47 | Crytosta chysrenda Blume. | Arecaceae | HG | Ornamental | |
| 46 | Cretavea religiosa G. Forst | Capparaceae | RS, CG, TE | Medicinal | |
| 45 | Couroupita guianensis Aubl. | Lecythidaceae | HG, RS, TE | Flower, Timber | |
| 44 | Cordia sebastena L. | Boraginaceae | RS, CG, HG | Ornamental | |
| 42 43 | Cocos nucifera L. Cordia dichotoma Forst. F | Arecaceae Boraginaceae | HG, TE, CG, OG RS, CG, DSC | Timber | |
| | Conserve and former I | A | LIC TE CC OC | Fruit | |

| 116 | Prosopis julifera (SW.) DC. | Fabaceae | RS, CG | Timber | |
|-----|---|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--|
| 117 | Psidium gujava L. | Myrtaceae | HG | Fruit | |
| 118 | Pterocarpus marsupium Roxb. | Fabaceae | CG | Timber | |
| 119 | Pterocarpus santalinus L.f. | Fabaceae | CG | Timber | |
| 120 | Pterospermum acerifolium (L.) Wild. | Malvaceae | DSC | Ornamental, Timber | |
| 121 | Pterospermum xylocarpum (Gaertn.) Oken. | Malvaceae | RS, CG, PP | Timber | |
| 122 | Roystonea regia (Kunth) O. F. Cook | Arecaceae | HG, RS, OG | Ornamental | |
| 123 | Santalum album L. | Santalaceae | HG, DSC, CG | Timber, Medicinal | |
| 124 | Sapindus emarginatus Vahl. | Sapindaceae | CG | Medicinal | |
| 125 | Saraca asoka (Roxb.) Wilde | Caesalpiniaceae | HG, CG | Medicinal | |
| 126 | Sesbania grandiflora (L.) Pets. | Fabaceae | HG | Fodder, Food | |
| 127 | Simarouba glauca DC. | Simaroubaceae | HG, RS | Ornamental | |
| 128 | Spathodea campanulata P. ex Beauv. | Bignoniaceae | RS, CG, DSC, OG | Ornamental | |
| 129 | Sterculia foetida L. | Sterculiaceae | HG, RS, CG | Ornamental | |
| 130 | Strychnos nux-vomica L. | Loganiaceae | CG | Medicinal | |
| 131 | Swertenia mahagoni (L.) Jacq. | Meliaceae | HG, RS, OG, pp | Timber | |
| 132 | Syzygium cumini (L.) Skeels. | Myrtaceae | RS, CG, DSC, OG, pp | Fruit | |
| 133 | Tabebuia pallida (L.) Miers | Bignoniaceae | RS, CG, DSC, OG | Ornamental | |
| 134 | Tabebuia rosea DC. | Bignoniaceae | RS | Ornamental | |
| 135 | Tamarindus indica L. | Caesalpiniaceae | HG, RS, OG | Fruit, Timber | |
| 136 | Tecoma stans (L.) Kunth. | Bignoniaceae | RS, CG, DSC, HG, OG, pp | Ornamental | |
| 137 | Tecomella undulata (Sm.) Seem. | Bignoniaceae | HG, CG | Ornamental | |
| 138 | Terminalia arjuna Roxb. ex DC.) At.& Arn. | Combrtaceae | RS, CG, OG | Medicinal, Timber | |
| 139 | Terminalia bellirica (Gaertn.) Roxb. | Combrtaceae | RS, CG | Medicinal | |
| 140 | Terminalia cattapa L. | Combrtaceae | HG, RS. OG, pp | Timber | |
| 141 | Thespesia populanea (L.) Sol. ex Correa. | Malvaceae | HG, RS, TE, OG, pp | Timber | |
| 142 | Vitex altissima L.f. | Verbenaceae | DSC | Medicinal | |
| 143 | Vitex negundo L. | Verbenaceae | RS, CG | Medicinal | |
| 144 | Wrightia tintoria R.Br. | Apocynaceae | RS, CG | Medicinal | |
| 145 | Ziziphus mauritiana Lam. | Rhamnaceae | RS, CG | Fruit | |

CG – College garden; TE- Temple; RS- road side; DSC- District science centre; HG – Home garden OG – Office garden; PP – Public park

Table 2. Diversity of Dicots and Monocots

| Taxa | Dicot | | Monocot | | Total Number | Ratio | |
|----------|--------|------------|---------|------------|--------------|-------|---------|
| | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage | | Dicot | Monocot |
| Families | 38 | 92.68 | 3 | 7.32 | 41 | 1 | 0.078 |
| Genera | 93 | 87.8 | 13 | 12.2 | 106 | 1 | 0.139 |
| Species | 124 | 89.85 | 14 | 10.15 | 138 | 1 | 0.112 |

Leaves of trees such as Gliricidia sepium, Leucaena latisiliqua and Sesbania grandiflora are used as fodder. Leaves of Sesbania grandiflora, Pisonia grandis and Murrya koengii are edible and used in food preparation. Cieba pentandra is a fibre yielding tree. Fruits of Calophyllum inophyllum, Madhuca longifolia and Pongamia glabra yield oil. Aegle marmelos, Couroupita guianensis, Cretavea religiosa, Ficus religiosa, Azadirachta indica and Prosopis cineraria are common sacred tree grown in the gardens of temples. Flowers of Couroupita guianensis, Nyctanthus arborteretis and Michelia chembaca are used to worship God. District Science Centre Tirunelveli recorded with highest number trees. Totally 338 trees observed during the period of study. Two endanger tree Saraca asoka also grown in the District Science Centre. The District Science Centre campus has 80 different varieties of tree species. They belong to 68 genera and 35 families. The Collector Office campus, Tirunelveli has recorded with 54 different varieties tree species belonging to 38 genera representing 25 families. The most dominant species on the campus are Azadirachta indica followed by the Pongamia pinnata and Samanea saman. In the Collector Office campus 318 trees were counted during the study period. Very old trees were recorded on this campus. 43 trees belonging to 7 families were measured over 200 cms in girth. Totally 67 different varieties of trees are recorded in Rani Anna Govt. College campus, Tirunelveli. Totally 61 different varieties of trees are recorded on the St. Xavier's College campus, Palayamkottai.

Totally 38 different varieties of trees are recorded on the Tirunelveli Medical College campus, Palayamkottai and 45 different varieties of trees were recorded on the Government Engineering College campus, Tirunelveli.

Fruit yielding tree such as *Mangifera indica, Annona squamosa, Cocos nucifera, Carica papaya, Phyllanthus acidus, Phyllanthus emblica, Psidium gujava, Musa paradisica* and *Citrus limon* are common in home garden. The drumstick tree *Moringa pterygosperma* recorded almost 75% of home gardene. People from Maharaja Nagar, Perumalpuram, Santhi Nagar and N.G.O colony are interested in growing many plants in home gardens. Palayamkottai, Tirunelveli town and Pettai recorded with less number of trees in the home garden as well as roadsides. The most common road side trees in Tirunelveli Corporation area *Azadirachta indica, Pongamia pinnata* and *Albizia lebbek.* 58 different varieties of vascular trees recorded from roadside in Tirunelveli Corporation area. Of these 58, dicots are 54 and monocot 4.

More number of dry deciduous forest trees found in the study area. Similar to present study, previous report on Bangalore urban forest also recorded occurrence of more number of deciduous species and observed 10 out of 15 as most common in street tree population and 7 out of 10 in parks of the city. On the other hand, present results are not in similar with the findings of Nowak (1993) and Nagendra and Gopal (2011) who reported predominance of alien species from the urban forests of Oakland, USA and Bangalore. Home owners planted many non-native trees in the home gardens. Urban forests are continuously losing a large proportion of their indigenous species due to ongoing competition between the natives and exotics (Drayton and Primack, 1996).

Conclusion

Tirunelveli Local forest department and Pollution control board have taken up tree planting and greening of school,

Government office campus and roadsides. In the last couple of years, these Department workers have planted saplings and taken good care of them. Each year the Government works with citizens to plant new trees. In Tirunelveli a Greening Action Plan is prepared annually with targets and goals. Saplings are provided free from nurseries, and students partake in tree planting drive along with NGOs. However, when these trees are suddenly and silently axed, they become 'Government property!' While neighborhoods are transformed, no one is asking, told or consulted even though such transport could take years to plan. A consultative process can in fact reap good results.

Acknowledgement

We thank Principal, Rani Anna Govt. College for women, Tirunelveli for providing laboratory facilities and guidance.

REFERENCES

- Alcorn, JB. 1992. Indigenous agroforestry systems in the Latin American tropics. Pages 203–218 In: M. A. Altieri and S. B. Hecht, eds., Agroecology and small farm development. CRC Press, Boston.
- Anonymous, 2007. United Nations Population Division, Urban Agglomerations 2007, Retrieved from http://www.un.org/esa/population/publicat ions/wup2007/2007urban agglomerations chart.pdf.
- Clarke, WC. and Thaman, RR. 1993. Agroforestry in the Pacific islands: Systems for sustainability. United Nations Press, New York.
- Colding, J., Lundberg, J. and Folke, C. 2006. Incorporating green-area user groups in urban ecosystem management. *Ambio* 35: 237–244.
- Drayton, B. and Primack, RB. 1996. Plant species lost in an isolated conservation area in metropolitan Boston from 1984 to 1993. Conservation Biology10: 30–39.
- Esquivel, M. and Hammer, K. 1992. The Cuban homegarden 'conuco': A perspective environment for evolution and in situ conservation of plant genetic resources. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* 39:9–22.

- Gamble, JS. 1915 1936. Flora of the Presidency of Madras London.
- Go'mez-Pompa, A. 1996. Three levels of conservation by local people. Pages 347–356 in F. Di Castri and Youne's T eds., *Biodiversity, science and development: Towards a new partnership.* CAB International, Wallingford, UK.
- Henry AN. Kumari, GR and V. Chithra 1987. Flora of Tamil Nadu, India. Botanical Survey of India, Southern circle, Coimbatore, India.
- Jim, CY. and Chen, WY. 2009. Diversity and distribution of landscape trees in the compact Asian city of Taipei. *Applied Geography* 29: 577-587.
- Johnson, AW. 1972. Individuality and experimentation in traditional agriculture. *Human Ecology* 1(2): 149–160.
- Kimber, C. 1978. A folk context for plant domestication: Or the dooryard garden revisited. *Anthropological Journal of Canada* 16 (4):2–11.
- Mathew, KM 1983 -1988. The Flora of Tamilnadu Carnatic TheRapinat Herbarium. *St. Joseph College Tiruchirapalli, India*.
- Merrick, LC. 1992. Crop genetic diversity and its conservation in traditional agroecosystems. Pages 3–11 in Altieri MA. and Hecht, SB. eds., *Agroecology and small farm development*. CRC Press, Boston.
- Nagendra, H. and Gopal, D. 2011. Tree diversity, distribution, history and change in urban parks: studies in Bangalore, India. Urban Ecosystems14: 211–223.
- Nowak, DJ. 1993. Historical vegetation change in Oakland and its implications for urban forest management. Journal of Arboriculture19: 313–319.
- Sabina George Thekkayam, 2010. Ornamental Plants, New India Publishing Company, New Delhi.
- Smith, NJH. 1996. Home gardens as a springboard for agroforestry development in Amazonia. *International Tree Crops Journal* 9:11–30.